

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/026,326	Applicant(s) METS ET AL.	
	Examiner Anh Ly	Art Unit 2162	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anh Ly. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Robert Hubbard. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: WED. 11/15/2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 42, 48, 51, 52, 53 and 54.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative agreed to make amendment by incorporating claims 43 and 44 into claims 42, 48 and 51 respectively; also claims 18 and 19 into claims 52, 53 and 54 respectively. Claims 42, 48, 51, 52, 53 and 54 are now in 101 problem: No Useful Result and 51 and 54 is now in non-statutory (such as a CD, non-functional descriptive material). Examiner suggested to look at 2106 in MPEP to amend the claims in order to overcome 101 problem and make the case allowable.

please Scan it
Thank, M

OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE L.L.P.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06901-2682
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Telephone: 203.327.4500
Facsimile: 203.327.6401

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

ATTENTION: Examiner Ly

DATE: November 13, 2006

FACSIMILE #: 571.273.4039

PAGES: 2

RE: US Patent Application No. 10/026,326

FROM: THERESA A.E. DOONAN
PATENT PROSECUTION
tdoonan@ogrp.com

Dear Examiner Ly:

Appended hereto is an Agenda for the Interview per your recent telephone conversation with Robert R. Hubbard of our firm. Please let Mr. Hubbard know by telephone of the date and time of the interview.

Thank you.

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY The information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT-PRIVILEGE; ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT; or CONFIDENTIAL. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is *unauthorized and strictly prohibited*. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle by telephone at (203) 327-4500 immediately. Any facsimile erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately returned to the sender by U.S. Mail, or if authorization is granted by the sender, destroyed. To Confirm Transmission Please Call (203) 327-4500

U.S. Serial No. 10/026,326
November 13, 2006

AGENDA FOR INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER LY AND EXAMINER BREENE

U.S. SERIAL NO. 10/026,326

1. The recent telephone conversation with Examiner Ly is acknowledged in which Examiner Ly requested an Interview Agenda prior to granting and scheduling an interview. It is respectfully requested that the interview take place before November 18, 2006, which is the next due date for the application.

2. The interview focus will be on the related independent computer apparatus claim 42, computer method claim 48 and computer media claim 51. Computer apparatus claim 42 will be the center of the focus.

3. The final Office Action identifies the elements and limitations of claim 42 in broad references to figures and citations in U.S. Patent No. 6,625,567 to McMahan. It would be helpful for the Examiner to specifically identify by reference numeral, Figure and accompanying description of the specification of the following elements and limitations recited in claim 42:

processor

activity framing program that responds

to input data entered by a user to identify a data structure

with a plurality of activities and activities and events of the industrial process

a first attribute of a first one of the activities

a first attribute of a first one of the events

the first event being framed by the first activity

to a request that identifies the first activity and its first attribute by using the data structure to access the data of the industrial process to retrieve the event data of the first event.